

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/106,994	06/29/1998	TONIA G. MORRIS	INTL-0061(P5	7440
7590 11/24/2003			EXAMINER	
Timothy N Trop			WHIPKEY, JASON T	
Trop Pruner &	Hu P C		<u> </u>	
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77024			2612	. 0 /
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003 1 \$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/106,994	MORRIS ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason T. Whipkey	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension 						
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached detailed advisory action</u> .						
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6,8-10 and 18-28</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6,8-10 and 18-28</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
10. Other:						
		•				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/106,994

Art Unit: 2612

ADVISORY ACTION

1. The shortened statutory period for reply expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this advisory action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Any extension fee required pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for reply expires as set forth above.

2. The amendment filed October 24, 2003 under 37 CFR § 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance because Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

In disputing the final rejection, Applicant notes that "[t]he examiner contends that this language is the alleged suggestion or motivation that would have led one skilled in the art to modify Elabd so that light is integrated directly into the storage registers 454, thereby bypassing the integration storage elements of the image register 452." The final rejection, however, did not make this assertion.

Applicant admits that instead, "the cited language would, at most, have motivated one skilled in the art to include multiple integrating capacitors in Elabd's image register 452 for each pixel". The examiner agrees that such motivation exists.

In applying Baker's teaching to Elabd's teaching as described in the final rejection, it is inherent that each of Elabd's pixels would require their own storage

6).

capacitors. Since the pixels integrate simultaneously, the means to transfer all pixel signals simultaneously to a commonly connected storage area is not present in Elabd. This argument is made broadly in the final rejection, which states, "it would have been obvious at the time of invention to have Elabd's sensor integrate charge directly in the storage locations" (i.e., "alternately switchable capacitors 2a and 2b") (page 4, lines 1-

Page 3

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason T. Whipkey, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1819. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. eastern standard time, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

November 17, 2003